The World Health Organization has declared a pandemic, as the global infection count stemming from the coronavirus has now exceeded 137,000 and has been attributed to over 5,000 deaths worldwide. More and more countries are facing outbreaks as governments scramble to contain its spread and find a cure. But what if there’s more to this virus than meets the eye? What if the deadly virus that has spread to 100 countries has a more sinister origin?

**Government Tracking and Control**

Since the outbreak of the virus in Wuhan, China, government officials have exercised unprecedented authority to monitor and detain citizens. The effort to control media coverage has reached a fevered pitch, and there doesn’t seem to be any sign that the nanny state is going anywhere.

**Media Censorship**

Tech companies have stepped up the effort to control the narrative, and basic freedom of speech is at stake. Even worse, efforts by the Chinese government to silence citizens and news outlets may have played a role in the spread of this virus.
Chinese officials arrested 8 medical professionals who tried to warn people of the disease back in December. Each detainee was part of a medical school’s alumni group on WeChat, a popular social network in China, and they were concerned that SARS, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome, was back. (SARS is a type of coronavirus.)

According to The Daily Beast, it wasn’t long before police detained them. The authorities said these eight doctors and medical technicians were “misinforming” the public, that there was no SARS, that the information was obviously wrong, and that everyone in the city must remain calm. On the first day of 2020, Wuhan police said they had “taken legal measures” against the eight individuals who had “spread rumors.”

Since then, the phenomenal spread of the virus has created cracks even within the normally united front of the Chinese Communist Party. “It might have been fortunate if the public had believed the ‘rumor’ and started to wear masks, carry out sanitation measures, and avoid the wild animal market,” a judge of China’s Supreme People’s Court wrote online last Tuesday.

Li Wenliang, a doctor who was among the eight people who tried to sound the alarm before the coronavirus infected many thousands and killed hundreds, was diagnosed as someone infected with the coronavirus and has since died. Authorities are still actively censoring social-media posts and news articles that question the government’s response to the outbreak.

One Wuhan man, Fang Bin, uploaded footage of corpses in a van and a hospital in Wuhan and was then tracked down and taken into custody. His laptop was confiscated, and he had to pedal for three hours on a bicycle to get home after he was questioned, warned, and released. His coronavirus video went viral.

Experts agree that this kind of aggressive censorship could make the virus even more lethal. Leaders within the Chinese government have put their political interests before the good of their people, and the results have been catastrophic thus far.

Videos of workers soaking entire cities in bleach have been quietly removed or hidden on social platforms, and leaked footage of police training to “capture” a suspected patient have resulted in the poster’s arrest.
In recent days, medical experts have found evidence that the origin of the outbreak was not a seafood market in Wuhan, as the Chinese government initially reported. That evidence also suggests that the first human infections occurred in November, if not earlier, rather than in early December.

**Mandatory Quarantine or Illegal Detention?**

The efforts to quarantine those suspected of carrying the coronavirus seem to have had little effect on its global transmission. Nevertheless, millions of people in China and around the world have been put on lock-down. These people are confined to their homes, ships, or temporary “quarantine zones” with no due process.

And although you may expect this kind of authoritarian response in communist China, the west is facing similar detention efforts.

In New York City, over 2,700 people are currently under quarantine. Although New York City Mayor Bill de Blasio claims that most of the quarantines are “voluntary,” many of them aren’t. We saw during the measles “epidemic” last year that Mayor de Blasio has no problem with violating the freedom of American citizens when he tried to force unvaccinated families to receive their shots.

The U.S. government is detaining many travelers at military bases for up to 14 days… whether they like it or not. On January 29, a flight carrying nearly 200 Americans from Wuhan landed at the March Air Reserve Base in Riverside, California. The evacuees were placed under a 14-day quarantine in the base’s living quarters. None of them tested positive for the virus, and the evacuees were released.

On a Princess cruise ship, thousands of passengers were confined to their cabins for nearly a month. Many passengers were trapped in windowless cabins totaling about 150 square feet (about 3 times larger than a prison cell). By the end of the failed quarantine, hundreds of passengers had been infected. Six of them died.
In Australia, things may be even worse. After the first human-to-human transmission of the novel coronavirus in Australia, the federal attorney general has made clear the government already has powers to detain people – against their will if necessary – to prevent the spread of the virus.

Under changes made to the Biosecurity Act in 2015, government authorities designated by the chief medical officer can make a “human biosecurity control order” to require an individual to do or not do certain things, including:

- Provide contact details
- Regularly update an officer of their health status
- Restrict movement by remaining at the individual’s place of residence for a specified period
- Undergo decontamination
- Provide body samples for diagnosis
- Undertake treatment or receive a vaccination
- To remain in Australia for up to 28 days
- Be isolated at a medical facility

An individual must comply with an isolation measure or a traveler movement measure for the first 72 hours while a direction is being sought. If an individual refuses to comply with a requirement to stay at a particular place or isolate themselves, there is a power for police to detain them.

On Tuesday the attorney general, Christian Porter, said it is “not inconceivable” that control orders could lead to detention if individuals refused control orders, but this was intended as a “last resort.”

He suggested the powers were most likely to be used to require people visiting sick relatives to undergo decontamination, to prevent them entering or exiting a medical clinic, or to answer questions at a hospital in the same way they do when entering or exiting Australia.

In addition to control orders, there are powers for the health minister enlivened by emergencies involving threats or harm to human health on a nationally significant scale, called human ‘biosecurity’ emergencies.

These powers allow the minister to “determine any requirement that he or she is satisfied is necessary” to prevent entry or spread of a disease.

They are subject to safeguards that the requirement must be “likely to be effective”, is “appropriate and adapted” to its purpose and is “no more restrictive or intrusive than is required in the circumstances”.

Offenses for failing to comply are punishable by five years in prison or 300 penalty units (about $63,000, indexed to inflation); the same penalties apply to failure to comply with a control order.

**The Chinese Surveillance State**
Chinese have long been aware that they are tracked by the world’s most sophisticated system of electronic surveillance. The coronavirus emergency has brought some of that technology out of the shadows, providing the authorities with a justification for sweeping methods of high-tech social control.

Artificial intelligence and security camera companies boast that their systems can scan the streets for people with even low-grade fevers, recognize their faces even if they are wearing masks and report them to the authorities.

If a coronavirus patient boards a train, the railway’s “real name” system can provide a list of people sitting nearby.

Mobile phone apps can tell users if they have been on a flight or a train with a known coronavirus carrier, and maps can show them locations of buildings where infected patients live.

Although there has been some anonymous grumbling on social media, for now Chinese citizens seem to be accepting the extra intrusion, or even embracing it, as a means to combat the health emergency.

“In the circumstances, individuals are likely to consider this to be reasonable even if they are not specifically informed about it,” said Carolyn Bigg, partner at law firm DLA Piper in Hong Kong.

This rollout of the world’s most sophisticated surveillance network should alarm you. Sure, it seems like a great way to help contain the virus and identify people who may have been exposed, but at what cost? This system wasn’t built in response to the virus – it already existed. Now that the government has an excuse, they’re finally able to flex their technology muscles.

The new reality in China (and around the world) is that we are constantly being watched, tracked, and listened to. Our devices are capable of marking our exact location, listening in on our conversations, and even transmitting video feeds. The age of privacy has come to an end, and the age of government tracking is upon us.

But cameras and GPS tracking aren’t the only tools that are being deployed.

Coronavirus and 5G

It’s first important to know that the coronavirus did not originate from a bad batch of bat soup at a local market.

The paper, written by a large group of Chinese researchers from several institutions, offers details about the first 41 hospitalized patients who had confirmed infections with what has been dubbed 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). In the earliest case, the patient became ill on 1 December 2019 and had no reported link to the seafood market, the authors report.

“No epidemiological link was found between the first patient and later cases,” they state. Their data also show that, in total, 13 of the 41 cases had no link to the marketplace. “That’s a big number, 13, with no link,” says Daniel Lucey, an infectious disease specialist at Georgetown University.

Lucey says if the new data are accurate, the first human infections must have occurred in November 2019—if not earlier—because there is an incubation time between infection and
symptoms surfacing. If so, the virus possibly spread silently between people in Wuhan—and perhaps elsewhere—before the cluster of cases from the city’s now-infamous Huanan Seafood Wholesale Market was discovered in late December. “The virus came into that marketplace before it came out of that marketplace,” Lucey asserts.

But here’s where it gets interesting…

**The Truth About 5G**

Did you know that Wuhan was one of the first cities to roll out the 5G network? For those of you who are unfamiliar, 5G is the latest high-frequency network and is being rolled out aggressively around the world.

To enable 5G deployment, wireless providers will use submillimeter and millimeter waves in frequency ranges above 6 GHz to 100 GHz and beyond, so that much more data can be transmitted in the same amount of time.

However, these high-frequency waves can’t carry data very far. To use them, wireless companies will have to build a massive infrastructure of literally thousands of small transmitters to increase signal range and capacity. It is estimated that a transmitter will need to be placed every two to ten homes apart.

Non-ionizing electromagnetic fields (EMFs) are generated by devices that emit radiofrequency radiation (RF), including cell phones, cordless phones and their base stations, Wi-Fi, broadcast antennas, smart meters, and even baby monitors. Electronic devices and infrastructures used to deliver electricity that generate extremely-low frequency electromagnetic fields also produce EMF.

Published, peer-reviewed, scientific evidence indicates that even the current wireless technologies often lead to radiofrequency exposures which pose a serious health risk to humans, animals, plants, and the environment.

For instance, wireless frequencies in the millimeter and submillimeter range have been shown to interact directly with our skin, specifically sweat glands. Our skin is our largest organ. The ICNIRP, while developing recommendations for public exposure limits, appears to be planning to classify our skin as an extremity. In other words, our skin would be categorized as belonging to our limbs rather than head or torso, permitting it to be exposed to more radiation than would otherwise be allowed.

In her report “A 5G Wireless Future: Will it give us a Smart Nation or Contribute to an Unhealthy One?” Dr. Cindy Russell raises further concerns about the basic safety of currently used wireless technologies. She points out that the proposed 5G frequencies have not been tested for short- or long-term safety.

What does this have to do with coronavirus?

**The 5G-Coronavirus Connection**

In 2007, a report titled *The BioInitiative* was published. It was republished again in 2012, and there have been ongoing updates between 2014 and 2019. Its website states that:
The BioInitiative 2012 report has been prepared by 29 authors from ten countries, ten holding medical degrees (MDs), 21 PhDs, and three MsC, MA or MPHs. Among the authors are three former presidents of the Bioelectromagnetics Society, and five full members of BEMS.

These are highly respected scientists coming from some of the world’s most respected universities and institutes.

The BioInitiative further warns that “evidence for risks to health has substantially increased since 2007 from electromagnetic fields and wireless technologies (radiofrequency radiation). The Report reviews over 1800 new scientific studies…. Health topics include damage to DNA and genes, effects on memory, learning, behavior, attention, sleep disruption, cancer and neurological diseases like Alzheimer’s disease. New safety standards are urgently needed for protection against EMF and wireless exposures that now appear everywhere in daily life.”

What has not been apparent in the news reports is the fact that China in its rush to take the lead in the 5G race, had by the end of 2019 (and mostly within the last several months leading up to the COVID-19 outbreak) installed 130,000 5G antennas throughout the country, with at least 10,000 antennas installed in Wuhan alone. (As a comparison, the USA only has approximately 10,000 5G antennas presently installed throughout the whole country.)

Wireless radiation from 1G to 5G have all emitted modulated “Radiofrequency (RF) electromagnetic fields (EMFs)” and there are literally thousands of studies showing biological effects from exposures to man-made electromagnetic waves, and out of these, hundreds showing biological effects on the immune system.

To give just one example, research by Kolomytseva, et al. found that “with whole-body exposure of healthy mice to low-intensity extremely-high-frequency electromagnetic radiation (EHF EMR, 42.0 GHz, 0.15 mW/cm², 20 min daily)… phagocytic activity of peripheral blood neutrophils was suppressed by about 50% (p < 0.01 as compared with the sham-exposed control) in 2-3 hours after the single exposure to EHF EM" and that this “effect persisted for 1 day after the exposure, and then the phagocytic activity of neutrophils returned to the norm within 3 days.”

The researchers concluded that “the results indicated that the whole-body exposure of healthy mice to low-intensity EHF EMR has a profound effect on the indices of nonspecific immunity.”

**Are Bigger Networks to Blame for Outbreaks?**

Interestingly, at one point in this saga, the second most hit (with the COVID-19) provinces were Zhejiang and Guangdong Provinces, (though as of this writing, Zhejiang has now been taken over by Henan). The provinces listed below, in Chinese, and in order of most cases, are Hubei, Guangdong, Henan, Zhejiang, and Hunan.

It has been so bad in Zhejiang Province that Japan, on Feb. 12th, even extended its entry restrictions to virus-hit Zhejiang (at the time was third in number of COVID-19 cases).

It includes the cities of Hangzhou and Wenzhou, which have among the country’s highest concentrations of coronavirus cases. Hangzhou hosts the headquarters of Alibaba Group Holding, while Wenzhou is famed as a cradle of small business.
These cities (Hangzhou, Wenzhou, and Ningbo) have also been cities selected to trial the 5G in Zhejiang province.

In the city of Hangzhou, all three Chinese telecoms are providing 5G service in the city; whereas in Ningbo, only two are, and in Wenzhou, only one (China Mobile) is.

The three cities in Zhejiang Province with the most cases have been Hangzhou (168 cases), Ningbo (156 cases), and Wenzhou (503 cases), 50 which are also curiously the cities selected to trial the 5G. The city proper of Wenzhou itself (though when one includes the outer-lying prefectures the total comes 9 million), for example, with only 200,000 people, has an inordinately high number of people infected with COVID-19, and the city has been on lockdown.

While there are still plenty of questions, we do know a few things:

- 5G radiation negatively impacts the immune system
- Patients living outside of 5G zones are more likely to have milder symptoms or no symptoms at all
- In the months leading up to the coronavirus outbreak, there were over 10,000 5G antennas installed in Wuhan
- Cities with the highest rates of coronavirus infection tend to also have recently deployed 5G networks
- There has been virtually no testing on the effects of 5G on people, plants, and animals

And 5G may even be making the virus more severe.

Is 5G Making Coronavirus Worse?

An *Asia Times* article from 2019 stated that there were 31 different 5G base stations in Wuhan at the end of 2018. There were plans developed later to place 10,000 5G antennae by the end of 2019, with most of those being on 5G LED smart street lamps.

The first such smart streetlamp was put in place on May 14, 2019, but large numbers only started being put in place in October 2019, such that there was a furious pace of such placement in the last 2 ½ months of 2019.

These findings show that the rapid pace of the coronavirus epidemic developed at least roughly as the number of 5G antennae became extraordinarily high. So, we have this finding that China’s 1st 5G smart city and smart highway is the epicenter of this epidemic and this finding that the epidemic only became rapidly more severe as the numbers of 5G antennae skyrocketed.

Are these findings coincidental or does 5G have some causal role in exacerbating the coronavirus epidemic? In order to answer that question, we need to determine whether the downstream effects of VGCC activation exacerbate the viral replication, the effects of viral
infection, especially those that have roles in the spread of the virus and also the mechanism by which this coronavirus causes death.

This virus has stoked international fear. Governments are exercising unprecedented power over their citizens, social and mainstream media outlets are being silenced and censored, and innocent people are being detained for fear that they may have the virus. Scientists are feverishly working on a vaccine to combat the virus (an entirely separate issue that we’ll write about in more depth soon).

Are There Solutions?

Based on the 5G-COVID-19 connection, it is apparent that mitigating exposure to wireless radiation is imperative. Here in the Bollinger household, we are using “Qi” technology to create a “safe home” environment. Qi Technologies is a German-patented technology that cancels out the EMF frequency. This gives you a safe EMF-free zone for you and your family.

There are 12 research studies indicating that Qi technology is effective at mitigating EMF by up to 70%. That’s a HUGE improvement in your home living environment! (See below for links to 2 of the studies)

To protect yourself and your family against the devastating effects of EMF’s and create a safe sanctuary against EMFs click here.

There are 12 research studies indicating that Qi technology is effective at mitigating EMF by up to 70%. That’s a HUGE improvement in your home living environment! (See below for links to 2 of the studies)

To protect yourself and your family against the devastating effects of EMF’s and create a safe sanctuary against EMFs click here.

There are natural ways to fight off viral infections and keep your immune system healthy, one of which is vitamin C, which is currently being used in China against COVID-19 coronavirus and has been officially recommended by the Shanghai government.

The coronavirus can be dramatically slowed, or stopped, with the immediate widespread use of high doses of vitamin C. Physicians have demonstrated the powerful antiviral action of vitamin C for decades. There has been a lack of media coverage of this effective and successful approach against viruses in general, and coronavirus in particular.

Is vitamin C a “cure” for coronavirus? The reality is that there is no “cure” for coronavirus (or any virus for that matter). We are exposed to literally thousands of ‘harmful’ viruses each and every day, but with a functioning immune system and intake of natural antivirals, we shouldn’t have to worry about any of the viruses … including coronavirus!

That’s why we are taking PuraThrive Liposomal Vitamin C each and every day. Why liposomal? Because it is the only route of administration that’s as “bioavailable” (absorbable) as IV injections, and it’s much cheaper and less invasive.

Want proof that vitamin C may be an answer? Anyone saying that vitamin therapy can stop coronavirus is already being labeled as “promoting false information” and promulgating “fake
news.” Even the sharing of verifiable news, and direct quotes from credentialed medical professionals, is being restricted or blocked on social media.

Another supplement we take every day is iodine (specifically Detoxadine, formulated by Dr. Edward Group). Iodine exhibits activity against bacteria, molds, yeasts, protozoa, and many viruses. Interestingly, of all the antiseptic preparations suitable for direct use on humans and animals and upon tissues, only iodine is capable of killing all classes of pathogens (gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria, mycobacteria, fungi, yeasts, viruses and protozoa).

According to Dr. David Brownstein:

“Iodine is essential to not only fighting off an infection it is necessary for proper immune system functioning. There is no bacteria, virus, parasite or fungus that is known to be resistant to iodine.”

Remember … when it comes to the coronavirus (or any other bacteria or virus for that matter) …

Don’t worry … BE HEALTHY!

PLEASE SHARE THIS ARTICLE WITH YOUR FRIENDS AND FAMILY!

We hope you enjoy the products we carefully review and share with you. Some organizations may choose to join the movement and support our mission by providing a portion of sales to TTAC. We thank them for their support and thank you for yours too!

[+] Sources and References